

# On the complexity of finite valued functions

Slavcho Shtrakov, Ivo Damyanov

Department of Computer Science, South-West University, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria  
e-mails: {shtrakov, damianov}@swu.bg

Understanding the complexity of  $k$ -valued functions is still one of the fundamental tasks in the theory of computation. The essential variables in a function  $f$  are defined as variables which occur in  $f$  and weigh with the values of that function. The number of essential variables is a measure of complexity for discrete functions and any opportunity to reduce the complexity is an important procedure in theoretical and applied computer science and modeling. When replacing some variables in a function with constants the resulting functions are called *subfunctions*, and when replacing all essential variables in a function with constants we obtain an *implementation* of this function. Such an implementation corresponds with a path in an *ordered decision diagram* (ODD) (see [1]) of the function which connects the root with a leaf of the diagram. The sets of essential variables in subfunctions of a given function are called *separable sets*.

By  $Sub(f)$ ,  $Imp(f)$  and  $Sep(f)$  we denote the sets of subfunctions, implementations and separable sets, respectively in the function  $f$ . The cardinalities of these sets are complexity measures for the corresponding functions. We introduce three equivalence relations  $f \simeq_{imp} g$ ,  $f \simeq_{sub} g$  and  $f \simeq_{sep} g$  in  $P_k^n$  defined by the equations  $|Imp(f)| = |Imp(g)|$ ,  $|Sub(f)| = |Sub(g)|$  and  $|Sep(f)| = |Sep(g)|$ .

**Theorem**      (i)  $\simeq_{imp} \leq \simeq_{sep}$ ;      (ii)  $\simeq_{sub} \leq \simeq_{sep}$ ;  
                  (iii)  $\simeq_{imp} \not\leq \simeq_{sub}$ ;      (iv)  $\simeq_{sub} \not\leq \simeq_{imp}$ .

Let us denote by  $Im_k^n$ ,  $Sb_k^n$  and  $Sp_k^n$  the transformation groups induced by the equivalence relations  $\simeq_{imp}$ ,  $\simeq_{sub}$  and  $\simeq_{sep}$ , respectively. We compare groups  $Im_2^n$ ,  $Sb_2^n$  and  $Sp_2^n$  with the lattice of the restricted affine groups (RAG) studied in [2]. The number of equivalence classes  $t(G)$  in cases of  $n$ -ary boolean functions for  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$  are calculated. We also obtain upper bounds in cases of  $n \leq 6$ .

$n$	$t(G_2^n)$	$t(Im_2^n)$	$t(Sb_2^n)$	$t(Sp_2^n)$
1	3	2	2	2
2	6	4	4	3
3	22	13	11	5
4	402	104	74	11
5	1 228 158	$< 1\ 228\ 158$		
6	400 507 806 843 728	$< 400\ 507\ 806\ 843\ 728$		

Number of classes under *symmetry type*,  $\simeq_{imp}$ ,  $\simeq_{sub}$  and  $\simeq_{sep}$ .

## References

- [1] Bryant, R. E., Graph-based algorithms for Boolean function manipulation. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, C-35(8), (1986), pp. 677–691.
- [2] Lechner, R. J., Harmonic analysis of switching functions. *Recent Developments in Switching Theory*, (ed. A. Mikhopadhyay), NY, Academic Press, (1971), pp. 121–228.